



# C-29

## Safety and Response of an Evans Blue-Modified Radiolabeled Somatostatin Analogue $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTA-EB-TATE with Increased Effective Dose in the Treatment of Metastatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: A Pilot Prospective Study

Qingxing Liu<sup>1</sup>; Yuejuan Cheng<sup>1</sup>; Jie Zang Chinese<sup>1</sup>; Hao Wang<sup>1</sup>; Huimin Sui<sup>1</sup>; Jingjing Zhang<sup>1</sup>; Orit Jacobson<sup>2</sup>; Zhaohui Zhu<sup>1</sup>; Xiaoyuan Chen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chinese Academy of Medical Science and Peking Union Medical College, Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) Hospital; <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Imaging and Nanomedicine (LOMIN), National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB), National Institutes of Health (NIH)

**BACKGROUND:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTA-EB-TATE, a novel radiolabeled somatostatin analogue modified by Evans Blue to increase tumor retention in patients with progressive metastatic neuroendocrine tumors (NETs).

**METHODS:** Thirty-three patients with metastatic NETs were prospectively enrolled into four groups: Group A (n=6, 43±12y) administered approximately 3.7 GBq (100 mCi)  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTATATE as controls; Group B (n=7, 55±7y) administered approximately 1.11 GBq (30 mCi)  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTA-EB-TATE; Group C (n=6, 55±10y) administered approximately 1.85 GBq (50 mCi)  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTA-EB-TATE; Group D (n=14, 50±10y) administered approximately 3.7 GBq (100 mCi)  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -DOTA-EB-TATE. Treatment-related adverse events were graded according to the CTCAE v.5.0.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -DOTATATE PET/CT were performed at baseline and 2–3 months after treatment for response evaluation.

**RESULTS:** Administration was well tolerated as outlined in Table 1. No CTC 3 or 4 hematotoxicity, nephrotoxicity or hepatotoxicity was observed during or after treatment in group A-C. In group D, CTC-3 hematotoxicity was recorded in 2 patients with multicourse chemotherapy previously. After one-cycle treatment, the SUVmax decreased in group C ( $\Delta\%=-17.4\pm 29.3\%$ ) and group D ( $\Delta\%=-15.1\pm 39.1\%$ ), but greatly increased in Group B ( $\Delta\%=30.0\pm 68.0\%$ ) and mildly increased in group A ( $\Delta\%=5.4\pm 45.9\%$ ). According to EORTC criteria, 16.7% (1/6), 0% (0/7), 50% (3/6) and 50% (7/14) were evaluated as partial response in group A, B, C and D, respectively. When selecting lesions with comparable baseline SUVmax ranging from 15 to 40, SUVmax decreased in group B ( $\Delta\%=-7.3\pm 24.5\%$ ), group C ( $\Delta\%=-34.9\pm 12.4\%$ ) and group D ( $\Delta\%=-17.9\pm 19.7\%$ ), but mildly increased in group A ( $\Delta\%=8.4\pm 48.8\%$ ) ( $P=0.009$ ). Suvmax significantly decreased in EBTATE group (group B plus C and D) ( $\Delta\%=-19.0\pm 21.5\%$ ) than TATE group ( $P=0.045$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** 177Lu-DOTA-EB-TATE is well tolerated and is more effective than 177Lu-DOTA-TATE. Both 1.85GBq (50mCi) and 3.7 GBq (100mCi) doses appear to be more effective than 1.11 GBq (30 mCi) dose. Further investigation with more cycles of 177Lu-DOTA-EB-TATE treatment and longer follow-up is warranted.

**Table 1. Safety evaluation after one cycle of 177Lu-DOTA-EB-TATE according to CTCAE v.5.0**

Group	Hematotoxicity	Hepatotoxicity	Nephrototoxicity
30 mCi EBTATE group(n=7, 30.0±3.0mCi)	6 CTC-0, 1 CTC-1		7 CTC-0 7 CTC-0
50 mCi EBTATE group(n=6, 49.1±5.4mCi)	5 CTC-0, 1 CTC-1		6 CTC-0 6 CTC-0
100 mCi EBTATE group(n=14, 102.9±15.9mCi)	11 CTC-0, 1 CTC-1, 2 CTC-3	14 CTC-0	14 CTC-0