

## O-4

# Immune Cell Molecular Pharmacodynamics of Lanreotide in Relation to Treatment Response

Sabah Alaklabi<sup>1</sup>, Orla Maguire<sup>2</sup>, Yali Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Jacky Chow<sup>4</sup>, Jiamin Wang<sup>3</sup>, Hans Minderman<sup>2</sup>, Renuka Iyer<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; <sup>2</sup>Flow & Image Cytometry, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; <sup>3</sup>Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Immunology, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA.

### BACKGROUND

Lanreotide is clinically effective in advanced neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). The inhibitory effect of lanreotide on tumor cells proliferation is due to binding to somatostatin receptors (SSTR1-5). It has been demonstrated that immune cells express SSTR1-5 differentially. The exact effect of somatostatin analogs (SSAs) on T cell function is not understood.

### METHODS

*In vitro* and *in vivo* effects of lanreotide on immune cells were investigated, with clinical response correlates. *In vitro*, SSTR1-5 expression was measured on CD4+ T helper cells, CD8+ cytotoxic T cells, and CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells from healthy donors (HD), and lanreotide effect on key functional immune response parameters were studied. To assess *in vivo* effects of lanreotide on immune cells of NET pts, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (n=17) obtained pre and 3 months post treatment were studied for gene and protein expression profiles in sorted T cell subsets using NanoString immune cell panel.

### RESULTS

HD T cells had high expression of SSTR2 and low/no expression of other SSTRs. *In vitro*, lanreotide had no effect on functional immune response parameters investigated. For the *in vivo* study, the patient cohort consisted of 9 responders and 8 non-responders. Clinicopathological features, see table. Pretreatment immunological competence of responders was greater than non-responders, indicated by upregulation of TCR signaling (in CD4+) and interferon signaling (in CD8+ and T reg). Irrespective of clinical response, lanreotide had most significant effect on CD8+ T cells, downregulating WNT, TCR, and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling. Compared to non-responders, responders had downregulation of cytokine and chemokine signaling but upregulation of ubiquitination and proteasome degradation associated genes. Several myeloid specific genes were significantly changed in the CD4 T helper population, possibly due to co-isolated myeloid cells interacting with T cells during sorting.

## CONCLUSIONS

The *in vivo* immune effects of lanreotide seen in the absence of *in vitro* effects reflect the relevance of environmental parameters such as interactions with myeloid components of the immune system not accounted for under the experimental *in vitro* conditions.

	Responders (N=9)	Non responders (N=8)
Age Median (range), y	69 (34-79)	66 (50-81)
pNET	5	4
Intestinal NET	4	3
Unknown	0	1
Metastatic sites N (%)		
Liver	6	6
Nodes	1	2
Lung	0	1
Skin	0	1
Peritoneum	1	0
Ki 67		
Not specified	1	2
< %3	5	0
3% - 20%	3	4
>20%	0	2
Differentiation		
Not specified	1	0
Well differentiated	8	7
Moderately differentiated	0	1

ABSTRACT ID 21431

