

## C-23

# Efficacy and safety of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide ([<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATOC) for the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) – a systematic literature review (SLR) and meta-analysis

Julia Fricke<sup>1</sup>, Holger Amthauer<sup>2</sup>, Erika Patricia Azorin-Vega<sup>3</sup>, Richard Paul Baum<sup>4</sup>, Dieter Hörsch<sup>5</sup>, Riccardo Laudicella<sup>6</sup>, Tristan Ruhwedel<sup>7</sup>, Martin Alexander Walter<sup>7</sup>, Vikalp Maheshwar<sup>8</sup>, Berna Degirmenci Polack<sup>9</sup>, Simon Florian Spiegel<sup>10</sup>, Guillaume P Nicolas<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>University Hospital Basel, Division of Nuclear Medicine, ENETS Centre of Excellence (CoE), Basel, Switzerland; <sup>2</sup>Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of the Free University of Berlin and of Humboldt University of Berlin, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Berlin, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares (ININ), Department of Radioactive Materials, State of Mexico, Mexico; <sup>4</sup>Curanosticum Wiesbaden-Frankfurt, Advanced Theranostics Center for Radiomolecular Precision Oncology and Deutsche Klinik für Diagnostik (DKD HELIOS Klinik), Wiesbaden, Germany; <sup>5</sup>Zentralklinik Bad Berka GmbH, Bad Berka, Germany; <sup>6</sup>University of Messina, Nuclear Medicine, Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morpho-Functional Imaging, Messina, Italy; <sup>7</sup>St. Anna Hospital, Department of Nuclear Medicine and University of Lucerne, Lucerne, Switzerland; <sup>8</sup>Parexel International, Hyderabad, India; <sup>9</sup>ITM USA Inc., Princeton, US; <sup>10</sup>ITM Oncologics GmbH, Garching/Munich, Germany.

## BACKGROUND

[<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide represents a promising radiopharmaceutical therapy (RPT) currently undergoing clinical evaluation for its efficacy in the management of patients with advanced NETs. No meta-analysis of data has previously been published for [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide in this clinical setting.

## METHODS

PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane databases, and abstracts from select congresses were searched for eligible [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide studies (PROSPERO 2024 CRD42024518028). Meta-analyses were performed using fixed and random-effects models. The primary outcome was the objective response rate (ORR; complete + partial response) in the subgroup of patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs (GEP-NETs) and in those with any NETs, irrespective of origin (All-NETs). Secondary outcomes included disease control rate (DCR; best overall response of complete response + partial response + stable disease), median progression-free survival (mPFS), and median overall survival (mOS). Safety/tolerability data for [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide were reviewed but not analyzed. Unpublished/updated data were requested from the authors where needed, to permit additional analyses.

## RESULTS

Of 591 unique publications identified in the searches, eight studies were eligible for inclusion, all in the advanced disease setting (5/8 included patients with progressive NETs). Updated data were included from 4/8 studies (maximum n=294 GEP-NETs; n=489 All-NETs). Most patients had grade 1/2 NETs (grade 1: 11%–63%; 2: 30%–79%; 3: 4%–11%). The response was assessed using RECIST-based criteria in 4/8 studies. There was high heterogeneity ( $I^2 >70%$ ) across meta-analysis outcomes/patient

populations, therefore, results from the more conservative random-effects model were prioritized. Patients with GEP-NETs had better RPT efficacy outcomes than those with All-NETs (Table). Safety/ tolerability data were inconsistently reported, but grade 3/4 hematological, renal and hepatic toxicities were rarely noted during [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide treatment. No secondary malignancies were reported in patients receiving RPT with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide alone.

#### Meta-analysis efficacy results (random-effects model)

	GEP-NETs	All-NETs
ORR, % (95% CI)	34 (17–54) [n=222]	19 (8–32) [n=423]
DCR, % (95% CI)	78 (60–92) [n=222]	57 (33–79) [n=423]
mPFS, months (95% CI)	24.9 (17.6–32.2) [n=294]	18.6 (12.5–24.8) [n=267]
mOS, months (95% CI)	44.8 (36.8–52.8) [n=256]	39.1 (25.1–53.0) [n=408]

CI = confidence interval; n = number of patients in analysis

## CONCLUSIONS

Overall, these results show favorable efficacy for [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide in patients with advanced NETs, especially in those with GEP-NETs. Response and PFS outcomes were encouraging in relation to recent phase 3 [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide data from COMPETE. The safety/tolerability profile of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-edotreotide also appears to be good and in line with the findings in COMPETE.

## ABSTRACT ID 33271

